

Innovation 4 Nuclear North America – Competition Rubric

Criteria categories	1	2	3	4	5	6
Description of the idea -- Max. 6 pts.	Unclear communication about problem and concept in both paper, video. Length requirements not met for paper <u>and</u> video. Illogically organized, hard to follow, severe ambiguity throughout.	Reasonably clear communication in paper <u>OR</u> video. Length requirements not met for paper <u>or</u> video. Hard to follow, some ambiguity and unanswered questions on problem, solution, or assumptions.	Reasonably clear communication in paper <u>AND</u> video. Length requirements not met for paper <u>or</u> video. Somewhat organized, hard to follow, ambiguity on problem, solution. Assumptions not discussed.	Clear, effectively communicated problem and concept in paper <u>OR</u> video. Length requirements met for paper <u>and</u> video. Logically organized, some ambiguity. Evaluator grasps the problem and solution.	Clear, effectively communicated problem and concept in paper <u>AND</u> video. Length requirements met for paper <u>and</u> video. Logically conveyed problem and solution, and some assumptions are discussed.	Clear, effectively communicated problem and concept in paper <u>AND</u> video. Length requirements met for paper <u>and</u> video. Problem, solution, and assumptions are logically organized with no ambiguity.
Innovation contribution -- Max. 4 pts.	Solution repackages old ideas and does not appear to address the problem.	Solution appears to use <u>existing</u> research and technology to <u>partially</u> address the problem.	Solution applies new research and tech to a partial solution <u>or</u> applies existing research and tech for completely new solution to a problem	Solution applies new research and technology to propose a <u>complete and entirely new solution</u> to problem.		
Applicability of the idea -- Max. 6 pts.	Discusses real-world business, social, technological or political aspects of problem, but misses key elements. Solution attempts to address feasibility and effectiveness of one aspect.	Discusses real-world business, social, technological and political aspects of problem. Solution partially addresses feasibility and effectiveness of 1 aspect. Some understanding, but misses key elements.	Discusses real-world business, social, technological and political aspects of problem. Solution fully addresses feasibility/effectiveness of 1 aspect. Some depth of research, but misses some minor problem attributes.	Good quality discussion of real-world business, social, technological and political aspects of problem. Solution fully addresses feasibility and effectiveness of 2 aspects. Evident research and understanding.	High quality discussion of real-world business, social, technological and political aspects of problem. Solution fully addresses feasibility & effectiveness of 3 aspects. Clear depth of research and understanding of problem.	High quality discussion of real-world business, social, technological and political aspects of problem. Solution fully addresses feasibility & effectiveness of all aspects. Clear depth of research and understanding of problem.
Contribution to sustainability -- Max. 4 pts.	Solution does not address any <u>U.N. sustainability goal</u> .	Solution appears to address one <u>U.N. sustainability goal</u> , but it is not addressed.	Directly addresses the impacts to one <u>U.N. sustainability goal</u> .	Directly addresses the impacts to 2 or more <u>U.N. sustainability goals</u> .		
BONUS: Representation of #AtomicAllies -- Max. 3 pts.	Members represent 2 Atomic Allies organizations. (ANS, WIN, and NAYGN)		Members represent 3 Atomic Allies organizations! (ANS, WIN, and NAYGN)			